2	Latife Neu 1825 NW 65 <sup>th</sup> Street Seattle, WA 98117 latife@neulegal.com (206)297-6349	Hon. Timothy W. Dore Chapter 7			
3	(206)297-6349				
4					
5					
6					
7					
8	INITED CT	ATEC DANIZBURTOV COURT			
9	WESTERN DISTRIC	ATES BANKRUPTCY COURT CT OF WASHINGTON AT SEATTLE			
11	In re:	CHAPTER 7			
12	MAJID ABDULHAMEED	Case No. 18-13841-TWD			
12	Debtor.				
13	ANNA TARASIUK,	Adv. Pro. No.			
15	Plaintiff,	COMPLAINT TO DETERMINE DISCHARGEABILITY OF DEBT PURSUANT TO 11 U.S.C. 523(a)(2), 523(a)(4) AND 523(a)(6)			
16	V.	}			
17	MAJID ABDULHAMEED,	}			
18	Defendant.	}			
19	u.				
20		0.11			
21	Plaintiff Anna Tarasiuk ("Tarasiuk") all				
22	1 Distriction A Towards 1 (-1 1	I. PARTIES			
23	1. Plaintiff Anna Tarasiuk (also known as Ganna Tarasiuk) is a resident of Bellevue, King				
24 25	County, Washington.	(also lynogym as Maiid al IIIIali and Maiid Albilali)			
	2. Defendant Majid Abdulhameed, (also known as Majid al-Hilali and Majid Alhilali), hereinafter "the Debtor," is believed to be a resident of Federal Way, King County,				
26					
27	washington, based on the addre	ss on his Bankruptcy petition (dkt. no. 1).			
28					
	COMPLAINT	Latife Neu, Attorney at Law 1825 NW 65 <sup>th</sup> Street Seattle, WA 98117 (206)297-6349			

1

latife@neulegal.com

#### 1 II. **JURISDICTION** 2 3. This Court has jurisdiction over this matter under Chapter 11 of Title 11 of the United 3 States Code (the Bankruptcy Code) pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 151, 157, and 1334. 4 4. This adversary proceeding is commenced pursuant to Rule 7001 et seq. of the Federal 5 Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure and Section 523(a) of the Bankruptcy Code. 5. Venue in this Court is proper pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1408 and 1409. 6 7 6. This is a core proceeding pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 157(b)(2)(I). 8 9 III. GENERAL FACTUAL BACKGROUND 10 7. Tarasiuk and the Debtor became romantically involved in early fall, 2016. 11 8. The Debtor represented to Tarasiuk that he was a trained software engineer with a degree 12 from a German university. 13 9. In December 2016, the Debtor told Tarasiuk that due to workplace discrimination, he was 14 being laid off from his job at the company PCG Consulting. He asked to move in with 15 Tarasiuk, and she agreed to let him move in with her. Tarasiuk began to provide financial 16 support to the Debtor. 17 10. After the couple began living together, Tarasiuk discovered that the Debtor's driver's 18 license was suspended, that he was unable to obtain a checking account in his name or 19 obtain insurance. Debtor claimed that all of these problems were the result of identity 20 theft committed against him. Tarasiuk paid fees associated with restoring the Debtor's driver's license to good standing. 21

In February, 2017, the Debtor asked Tarasiuk for \$3,050.00 to pay for his mother's eye surgery. Tarasiuk agreed to pay for the surgery and supplied the \$3,050.00 payment.

Later, Tarasiuk learned that Debtor's mother had not needed Tarasiuk to pay for her surgery. Rather, the mother had given \$3,050.00 to the Debtor, which he spent. He then

27 12. After the Debtor was let go from PCG Consulting, he told Tarasiuk that he needed to take

turned to Tarasiuk for funds to cover his misuse of the money.

28

26

COMPLAINT

1		a training course at the cost of \$2,500.00, which Tarasiuk gave him, and would continue
2		to require her complete financial support for the duration of the training. The training
3		course was fictional.
4	13.	Once the (fictional) training course was finished, the Debtor claimed to be fielding a
5		number of good job offers, including multiple jobs with PCG Consulting and Amazon.
6		The Debtor showed Tarasiuk emails and documents to prove that he was being offered
7		good jobs. These emails were fraudulent and originated with the Debtor himself. He
8		convinced Tarasiuk to continue to financially support him on the basis that he would soon
9		be well employed.
10	14.	In mid-2017, the Debtor claimed to be working at PCG Consulting once again, but
11		claimed that he never got paid. He told Tarasiuk that once he received his wages at some
12		point in the future, he would repay Tarasiuk for money she was supplying to him.
13		Eventually, he claimed he had been laid off once again due to workplace discrimination.
14	15.	In mid- 2017, the Debtor began to tell Tarasiuk that he would be able to repay her for her
15		loans and ongoing financial support because his family was in the process of selling real
16		estate in Baghdad, Iraq. At various times over the next year, Tarasiuk received email
17		communications ostensibly from the Bank of Baghdad, saying \$25,000.00 would be
18		transferred to Tarasiuk via bank wire any day. The plaintiff will show that the emails
19		from the Bank of Baghdad actually originated with the Debtor. Ignorant of the deception,
20		Tarasiuk believed that she would be repaid for her financial support of the Debtor.
21	16.	At approximately the same time, the Debtor proposed marriage to Tarasiuk. On the
22		understanding that she would be repaid by the Debtor through his work, family, or other
23		prospects, Tarasiuk advanced \$3,000.00 toward deposits on wedding related expenses
24		(venue, photo/video, wedding planner).
25	17.	On numerous occasions, the Debtor used Tarasiuk's checkbook, credit cards, and debit

COMPLAINT

26

27

28

Latife Neu, Attorney at Law 1825 NW 65<sup>th</sup> Street Seattle, WA 98117 (206)297-6349 latife@neulegal.com

cards without Tarasiuk's permission. These liberties caused stress in the home, and

forced Tarasiuk to begin hiding her cards and checks.

1	18.	Among the unauthorized	uses of Tarasiuk's cards	was a July, 2017,	purchase at a Seattle
---	-----	------------------------	--------------------------	-------------------	-----------------------

- 2 QFC store where \$425.95 was charged. Debtor initially denied that he had made this
- 3 charge, and advised Tarasiuk to file a dispute with her bank. Once the charge was proven
- by QFC, the Debtor admitted making the charge and promised to repay Tarasiuk. He
- 5 never repayed her.
- 6 19. Shortly thereafter, in August, 2017, the Debtor forged a check for \$2,000.00 to himself on
- 7 Tarasiuk's checking account, without Tarasiuk's knowledge or permission. The check
- 8 was stopped by the bank, and Tarasiuk was called for an interview with the bank.
- 9 20. In December, 2017, the Debtor sent a payment to the IRS toward his own tax debt using
- Tarasiuk's checking account, also without Tarasiuk's knowledge or permission.
- 11 21. To further demonstrate that Tarasiuk should continue to support him and lend him
- money, the Debtor claimed that he had an interest in a house in Cologne, Germany. The
- Debtor convinced Tarasiuk that in order to get the house finalized to his name, substantial
- taxes and legal fees would need to be paid.
- 15 22. The Debtor told Tarasiuk that if she contributed to the cost of paying a German lawyer,
- the Debtor would add her name to the title of the house. This would, he claimed, enable
- 17 Tarasiuk to get an EU residency card, which would facilitate travel to and within Europe.
- Tarasiuk believed the Debtor.
- 19 23. The Debtor claimed to have engaged a German attorney named Oliver Bierhoff.
- 20 Beginning in September, 2017, emails from attorney Bierhoff would periodically be sent
- 21 to Tarasiuk and the Debtor. The plaintiff will show that attorney Beirhoff is fictional and
- all emails from Bierhoff actually originated with the Debtor.
- 23 24. To support the claim that the Debtor owned or had an interest in a house in Germany that
- would somehow benefit Tarasiuk, the fictional attorney Bierhoff emailed Tarasiuk
- applications for EU residency. Tarasiuk was instructed to fill out the forms, and return
- them to Bierhoff with copies of her current apartment lease, driver's license, and other
- 27 personal documents. Tarasiuk did so.

28

COMPLAINT

1	25.	To further support the story about the house in Germany, the Debtor claimed that he had
2		found a tenant to live in the house, which would be facilitated by attorney Bierhoff. The
3		Debtor supplied Tarasiuk with a copy of a residential lease that supposedly showed that
4		the house would be rented out. The lease named the Debtor and Tarasiuk as Landlords.
5	26.	The Debtor claimed he was sending thousands of dollars- all of his available money- to
6		attorney Bierhoff in Germany, and induced Tarasiuk to continue to support him and
7		supply him with money during that time. In October, 2017, the Debtor gave Tarasiuk a
8		Western Union receipt to show he had just sent over \$13,000.00 to attorney Bierhoff.
9		Tarasiuk later learned that the receipt was falsified, that Western Union does not process
10		sums of that size, and that the tracking number was false.
11	27.	German attorney Oliver Bierhoff is a fictional person, and emails supposedly from
12		Bierhoff originated from email accounts in the control of the Debtor. The law firm where
13		he supposedly worked never employed such a person. It is unclear at this time whether
14		the Debtor ever had an interest in a house in Cologne, Germany, or whether this was also
15		a fiction.
16	28.	In October, 2017, the Debtor claimed that he had an interest in a business in Germany tha
17		had just been sold. The Debtor told Tarasiuk that his share of the proceeds would soon be
18		wired to him. The Debtor identified the co-owner as an Omar Santi, and put Tarasiuk in
19		email contact with Santi, who promised to wire money. On that basis, Tarasiuk was
20		induced to continue to provide financial support and lend money to the Debtor. The
21		plaintiff will show that emails from Santi actually originated from the Debtor.
22	29.	In early 2018, the Debtor told Tarasiuk that he had secured a good job at Boeing, which
23		would provide them both with insurance coverage benefits. To cover this falsehood, the
24		Debtor purchased a vision insurance policy in Tarasiuk's name, and presented that
2.5		coverage to Tarasiuk as evidence that he had a good job with benefits. Later Tarasiuk

COMPLAINT

Boeing employment.

26

27

28

Latife Neu, Attorney at Law 1825 NW 65<sup>th</sup> Street Seattle, WA 98117 (206)297-6349 latife@neulegal.com

was billed for the insurance coverage, and learned that the policy had nothing to do with

1 30.	In earl	y 2018,	the Debtor	showed [	Tarasiuk a	pay	summar	y showing	g he had	been	paid (	ove
-------	---------	---------	------------	----------	------------	-----	--------	-----------	----------	------	--------	-----

- 2 \$22,000.00 from an employer in January, 2018. The document was falsified. It was
- 3 provided to induce Tarasiuk to continue to provide financial support and loans to the
- 4 Debtor.
- 5 31. In May, 2018, the Debtor took Tarasiuk's checkbook and wrote checks to his father
- 6 without her knowledge or permission. The bank honored the checks, and the checking
- 7 account became overdrawn, resulting in fees and costs to Tarasiuk.
- 8 32. In June, 2018, the Debtor moved out of Tarasiuk's home, and agreed to repay her
- 9 \$40,000.00, representing money Tarasiuk spent to support him financially, or gave him,
- or lent him, or which was taken/used without her permission.
- 11 33. A Note memorializing the Agreement was signed by the Debtor on May 21, 2018, and is
- 12 attached hereto as Exhibit A.
- 13 34. The Debtor made one payment of \$1,000.00 on the Agreement to repay Tarasiuk.

## 15 IV. FIRST CLAIM FOR RELIEF: NONDISCHARGEABILITY UNDER 11 U.S.C.

16 §523(a)(2) and §523(a)(4)

14

- 17 35. The above paragraphs 1-34 are incorporated herein by reference.
- 18 36. 11 U.S.C. §523(a)(2) provides for the nondischargeability of debts for money, property,
- 19 services, or an extension, renewal, or refinancing of credit, to the extent obtained by false
- 20 pretenses, a false representation, or actual fraud.
- 21 37. 11 U.S.C. §523(a)(4) provides for the nondischargeability of debts for fraud or
- defalcation while acting in a fiduciary capacity, embezzlement, or larceny.

#### 23 Larceny and Embezzlement

- 24 38. At various times while living with Tarasiuk, the Debtor used her credit cards without her
- 25 permission.
- 26 39. At various times while living with Tarasiuk, the Debtor wrote checks on her checking
- account without her permission.

COMPLAINT

28

1	40.	At various times while living with Tarasiuk, the Debtor took cash belonging to Tarasiuk
2		without her permission.

### 3 Inducement to Extend Credit to Debtor Through False pretenses and False representation

- 4 A. Medical Procedure
- 5 41. The Debtor told Tarasiuk that his mother required \$3,050.00 to pay for a medical
- 6 procedure on her eyes.
- 7 42. Tarasiuk relied on the truth of that statement, and put the cost of the medical procedure
- 8 on her credit card.
- 9 43. In fact, the Debtor's story was false. The Debtor's mother had given him \$3050.00 to pay
- to the medical providers on her behalf, which he spent. The Debtor then fraudulently
- induced Tarasiuk to pay for the procedure.
- 12 B. Legal Fees Paid to Fictional Attorney, Interest in House in Cologne, Germany
- 13 44. The Debtor told Tarasiuk that she should help him pay a German attorney named Oliver
- Bierhoff, so that the Debtor could obtain clear title to a house in Germany.
- 15 45. Bierhoff was a fiction invented for three purposes: 1.to continue the lie that the Debtor
- was well employed, and was spending his earnings on a useful purpose, 2. to induce
- Tarasiuk to continue to support the Debtor financially, and finally 3. to induce Tarasiuk to
- hand over her own money to the Debtor to send to the fictional Bierhoff.
- 19 46. The debtor fabricated numerous emails from the fictional attorney Bierhoff, purporting to
- prove that the Debtor was sending his earnings to Bierhoff.
- 21 47. The emails supposedly from Bierhoff originated from IP addresses under the Debtor's
- control.

**COMPLAINT** 

- 23 48. At his continued 341 hearing, the Debtor testified that attorney Bierhoff is real, and gave
- 24 the Trustee a letter purportedly from Bierhoff. Plaintiff intends to show that the letter
- given to the trustee was fabricated by the Debtor.
- 26 49. Tarasiuk will establish that attorney Bierhoff was fabricated as part of a scheme to
- defraud Tarasiuk of funds, and to induce Tarasiuk to continue to support the Debtor

28

Latife Neu, Attorney at Law 1825 NW 65<sup>th</sup> Street Seattle, WA 98117 (206)297-6349 latife@neulegal.com

7

4	C*	. 11
1	tinan	C12
1	1111411	cially.

- 2 50. Associated with the fraudulent fabrication of attorney Bierhoff, Tarasiuk intends to
- 3 establish that the Debtor fabricated evidence that he had an interest in a house in Cologne,
- 4 Germany. As part of this fraud, the Debtor fabricated a lease agreement under which he
- 5 claimed to be renting out the fictional house to a fictional tenant. As another part of this
- fraud, the Debtor told Tarasiuk that he would put her name on the house, which would
- 7 benefit Tarasiuk in various ways.
- 8 51. The fabrication of the story about the house in Cologne, Germany, and the false evidence
- 9 in support of this story, was intentional, and part of a scheme to induce Tarasiuk to
- continue to support the Debtor financially, and did so induce Tarasiuk.
- 11 C. Funds to Be Transferred to Tarasiuk from Debtor's Relatives in Baghdad
- 12 52. The Debtor told Tarasiuk that his relatives in Baghdad, Iraq, would soon sell valuable real
- estate in Iraq, which would result in repayment of funds spent by Tarasiuk to provide
- 14 financial support of the Debtor.
- 15 53. The Debtor also told Tarasiuk that funds would be sent to her from Iraq, following the
- sale of a smaller parcel of real estate in that country, to reimburse her for her financial
- support of the Debtor.
- 18 54. In support of this claim, a series of emails were sent to Tarasiuk which claimed to be
- from the Bank of Baghdad. The emails assured Tarasiuk that a wire transfer in the
- amount of \$25,000.00 would be forthcoming any day.
- 21 55. Tarasiuk will establish that the emails from the Bank of Baghdad were fabricated by the
- Debtor, and came from IP addresses under the control of the Debtor.
- 23 56. By fabricating the story about the imminent transfer of money from his family, and false
- 24 evidence in support of the story, the Debtor intended to induce Tarasiuk to continue to
- support him financially, and did so induce Tarasiuk.
- 26 57. Tarasiuk will show that the promised \$25,000.00 was entirely fabricated by the Debtor
- for the purpose of deceiving Tarasiuk so that she would continue to support the Debtor

28

COMPLAINT

- 1 financially. 2 D. Well Paying Job Offers, Proof of Earnings 3 58. While the Debtor and Tarasiuk were living together, the Debtor was unemployed for a 4 substantial part of the time. To convince Tarasiuk that he was or would be well 5 employed, the Debtor fabricated numerous documents with the intent to deceive Tarasiuk. 59. 6 The Debtor fabricated emails purporting to show job offers being made to the Debtor, in 7 order to convince Tarasiuk that the Debtor would soon be earning a good living. This 8 was intended to induce Tarasiuk to continue to provide financial support to the Debtor, 9 and did induce Tarasiuk to do so. 10 60. The Debtor fabricated pay summaries purporting to show that he earned large amounts of 11 money. One such pay summary emailed to Tarasiuk by the Debtor showed income of 12 over \$22,000.00 in one pay period, and another showed earnings of approximately 13 \$12,000.00. 14 61. In fact, the false pay summaries were intended to deceive Tarasiuk into thinking that the 15 Debtor would eventually contribute financially to their shared household, and to induce 16 her to continue to provide financial support to the Debtor. The fraudulent documents did 17 induce Tarasiuk to do so. 18 WHEREFORE, Plaintiff Anna Tarasiuk respectfully prays for the following relief: 19 A. That the Court determine that the full amount of debt set forth in the Agreement attached 20 hereto should be deemed a nondischargeable obligation owed by Debtor- Defendant to 21 Plaintiff under 11 U.S.C. §523(a)(2), (4), and (6); and 22 B. That the Court enter judgment providing for Plaintiff to recover the above-pled sums, 23 along with reasonable attorney's fees as provided in the Note (Ex. A),
- 24 C. That Plaintiff have such other relief as is lawful and appropriate.

25 //

26 //

27 //

28

**COMPLAINT** 

1	DATED this 6th day of January, 201	19.	
2			
3		/s/ Latife Neu	
4		<u>/s/ Latife Neu</u> Latife Neu WSBA 33144 Attorney for Plaintiff Anna Tarasiuk	
5		Attorney for Plannull Anna Tarasiuk	-
6			
7			
8			
9			
10			
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			
	COMPLAINT	10	Latife Neu, Attorney at Lav 1825 NW 65 <sup>th</sup> Street Seattle, WA 98117 (206)297-6349
		10	latife@neulegal.com

# EXHIBIT A

## **Promissory Note**

I, Majid Muhallub Abdulhameed, residing at 742 141<sup>st</sup> LN Se, Bellevue WA, 98007, hereby promise to pay back Ganna L Tarasiuk (*residing 742 141<sup>st</sup> LN Se, Bellevue WA, 98007*) at a full amount of borrowed credit cards. As following:

- Chase Freedom \$16k
- BECU Credit Card- \$8k
- US bank credit card \$4k (which was used for my mother's eye surgery)
- Alaska FCU Auto loan \$10k
- Steven Wu \$2500, borrowed by Ganna for house deposit.

This money Ganna spent on me during our relationship and engagement, as I was struggling with work and was not able to support myself.

Per our verbal and now written agreement, I am obligated to pay her no less than \$1500 every month until all balances are brought to zero. Payment day must be 10<sup>th</sup> of every month. Target payment is \$3000 per month, meaning I must do my best to pay this off as soon as possible.

If I fail to keep up with my promissory and obligation, Ganna has a right to legally demand these amounts in court and legal fees will be reimbursed by debtor (Majid Abdulhameed).

As the borrower, I am aware of the right to be informed that the note can be transferred by the lender to another party. The original terms and agreement will remain effective, but the debt will be payable to a different party, which will be agreed upon at the time of transfer.

We have witnesses to verbal agreement and this promissory note. They are: My father Muhallab Majeed and Ganna's cousin Serhii Shnyt. Both residing in the same house with us at the time of this notice being produced.

Majid Abdulhameed Date 05/21/2018 Signature

Ganna Tarasiuk Date 05/21/2018 Signature

Serhii Shnyt (witness) Date 05/21/2018 Signature